## Regional EMS Council Process Action Team (PAT) Doubletree Hotel, Charlottesville, VA March 20, 2008 10:00 AM

<b>Members Present:</b>	Members Absent:	Staff:	Others:
Gary P. Critzer, EMS Council Board	Dr. Jack Potter, Designated Trauma	P. Scott Winston	Melinda Duncan, NVEMS
President, PAT Chair	Center Representative		
Dr. Rob Logan, EMS Council	Jerry Overton, Urban Based EMS	Dennis Molnar	Connie Purvis, BREMS
Executive Director	Service Representative	15.5	W. H. G. J OPENGA
Tina Skinner, EMS Council		Michael D. Berg	Holly Sturdevant, ODEMSA
Executive Director		Irene M. Hamilton	Heidi Heelton ODEMS A
Dr. Scott Weir, Operational Medical Director		frene W. Hallilloll	Heidi Hooker, ODEMSA
Dr. Theresa Guins, Physician	At the second se		Mary Kathryn Allen, BREMS
Member of EMS Advisory Board			iviary radiiyii men, Brazivis
Donna Burns, EMS Council Board			Karen D. Wagner, EMS Advisory
President			Board Chair
Dreama Chandler, VAVRS			Gary Dalton, LFEMSC
President			
Randy Abernathy, VAGEMSA			Jim Masten, PEMS
President			
Chris Eudailey, Virginia Fire			Jim Chandler, TEMS
Chief's Association President			T 1 XX . TXX A N 1' 1
Scott Hudson, Rural Based EMS			John Wertman, UVA Medical
Service Representative Bruce Edwards, EMS Advisory			Center Gregory Woods, SWVEMS
Board Member		<i>•</i>	Gregory woods, 5 w v Ewis
Jason Campbell, Virginia			Bill Downs, TJEMS
Professional Fire Fighter/VML			211 20 11115, 102112
Representative			
Gary R. Brown, OEMS Director			Dave Cullen, CSEMS
Dr. Lisa Kaplowitz, Virginia	<i>y</i>		
Department of Health (ex-officio			
member)			
Tim Perkins, OEMS Staff to Pat			

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow- up; Responsible Person
Call to Order – Gary Critzer,	Gary Critzer called the meeting to order at 10:00 AM	
PAT Chairman		

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow- up; Responsible Person
Approval of Minutes	The Team was asked to review the minutes from the February 25 and February 26 PAT meetings; as well as the February 25 Public Forum minutes for approval	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	There was one correction regarding the Virginia State Fire Chief's Association representative's correct title. Chris Eudailey is the President of the Virginia State Fire Chief's Association. The minutes were approved with the one correction. The corrected minutes will be forwarded to the team.	
Overview of the basic Regional Council Contract – Dave Cullen	Dave Cullen asked the team to refer to the copy of the Central Shenandoah contract that they received in their original packet. Dave said that it mirrors all the other regional council contracts. Dave gave the group an overview of the contract. Dave said that it is important that the group understands what the basic contract is; what the regional councils contract with the state office to perform; the specific services that they offer; and then each council will each go through and talk about the specific items that their council performs above and beyond the state contract mandates.  Proposed Regional Infrastructure — Each region is paid a certain amount of money, approximately \$60-\$70,000 (Tim Perkins confirmed). This pays for the Executive Director, Administrative Assistant and a Field Coordinator. Basically, this line item pays the overhead expenses.  Regional Medical Direction —  Regional Medical Director —Each council is required to have an Operational Medical Director at the regional level. This line item allows them to put into their contract for their Operational Medical Director in case one of the regular agency medical directors, die, change position, etc. The Regional OMD will step in and take over as the EMS agency medical director until another OMD can be found. If that doesn't happen, in the past the State Medical Director has performed that role.  Regional Medical Protocols — BLS and ALS Protocols — Every region has a set of protocols. The councils each have committees that formulate protocols for each region.  Regional Medical and EMS Supplies Restocking Program / Regional Medication Kit Exchange Program — These are based on the protocols. To date, they have not been able to get a statewide protocol. The regional councils have committee to address these tasks.  Regional Planning — The regions do a lot of planning. Planning takes very little money but a lot of time and staff work.  Regional EMS Plan  Regional EMS Plan  Regional EMS MCI (Disaster/WMD) Plan  Rospital Diversion Plan — Smaller regio	
	<ul> <li>Surge Capacity Plan</li> <li>Regional Coordination – Answering phones, dealing with local government, attend a lot of</li> </ul>	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
	Gary Brown stated that in the past the base funding did not reflect the true expenditures. OEMS	
	changed the funding formula in an effort to have the council's budget more truly reflect the cost of	
	doing business with the council. Gary deferred to the two council directors on the PAT for their	
	opinion on this funding formula.	
	Gary Critzer said that obviously dependent on the size of the region, some regions take more people to	
	deliver services than a smaller region. He recognized, however, that when you look across the line at	
	each council's budget and the budget differences, taking into account the cost of living, it does pose the	
	question of why there are such vast differences in the budget amounts.	
	Tina Skinner said that personally from her council's perspective her Board of Directors approves the	
	proposed budget for the coming year; and that is exactly their view when sending in the contract to	
	OEMS. It is based on a percentage of funds from the state contract; funds received from localities that	
	support REMS; and fund raising funds. In their perspective, those amounts are based on the budgets	
	that our boards approve for us.	
	Rob Logan stated that a lot of the contract deliverables don't have a lot of direct costs. Therefore, the	
	contract proposals are usually heavy on the personnel side. Tim Perkins pointed out that in the case of	
	WVEMS Council a lot of their budget is not for their base funding; but instead for IT support for all of	
	the regions and the EMS Symposium.	
	Come Critzer colon if the base contract provided for three staff members for each region; or does it differ	
	Gary Critzer asked if the base contract provided for three staff members for each region; or does it differ from region to region based on the coverage area. Rob Logan answered stating that with the funding	
	formula now being used it is up to the individual council to decide how they request staff funding.	
	formula now being used it is up to the individual council to decide now they request start funding.	
	Gary Critzer said he thinks that the explanations provided clarifies a lot of the questions that some of the	
	regions have; because he feels that they were under the assumption that it was a boilerplate base for	
	funding. Gary Brown clarified that from time to time councils will be budgeted for special projects that	
	they have been contracted with to provide; services that they usually provide for all the regions.	
4		
	Randy Abernathy asked what type of financial review process is in place relative to all of the distributed	
	funds. Randy wanted to know if councils are required to submit financial statements. Tim Perkins said	
	that contractors are required to provide a copy of the year end financial statement. Randy asked if the	
	statements were reviewed. Gary said the statements are closely reviewed and are utilized in developing	
	the council's budget. OEMS visits the councils during the budget process and go through their	
	proposal. Dennis Molnar analyses the financial statement closely looking at the revenue and	
	expenditures and comparing how it measures up against the requested budget.	
	Gary Brown asked Dennis Molnar to discuss in more detail a contract OEMS has with a firm to audit	
	Regional EMS Councils. Dennis explained that OEMS has contracted with the firm to provide audit	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
Topic/Subject	Discussion	up; Responsible Person
	services to look at Regional Councils, along with monitoring the RSAF Grant Program and Return to Localities to see how we are spending the money.	ap, responsible religion
	Gary Critzer asked if Regional Councils are also subjected to audit at the request of the state because they receive state monies. Dennis confirmed that is true.	
	Jason Campbell said that there seems to be a negative connotation associated with the contract. He asked if that could be addressed. He said that it seems some councils feel that their time could be better utilized in other ways.	
	Dave Cullen said a lot of Jason's concerns will be addressed by the individual council directors during their presentations. Dave said that there are bits and pieces of the contract that causes frustration for the councils. Dave pointed out that the contract contains many QA/QI mandates on the regional level; and the councils are responsible for getting "buy in" from their volunteers. This is a point of frustration for the councils. Dave pointed out that they are non profits; and they are not OEMS. Dave said he has a contract with the Office of EMS; but he also has approximately 15 other contracts to perform other services for other people. Dave said he is not a part of the Office of EMS; but he is a contractor and he has a lot of additional duties. Dave emphasized the necessity to have additional contracts outside of OEMS because OEMS funding alone is not enough to substantiate a council office.	
	Jim Chandler said his feeling is that the regions are there to serve the regions, the stakeholders of EMS within their regions; and not to serve the state. So the contract budget is a funding mechanism that should allow regional councils to serve their localities agencies. He does feel the councils have an obligation to work together with the state. Jim pointed out that they have a State Plan that is supposed to also incorporate the Regional Plan. Jim asked if it is a bottom up Plan, State Plan or is it a top down Plan The last couple of years it really seems the State Plan seems to be the top down. The Regional Plans are required; but the State Contract has no particular linkage to the Regional Plans. The State Contract has years worth of negotiations back and forth; a reality of what agencies do, not reflected in a core set of basic requirements that describe what the regions agree are the things that they all do. Jim said that he thinks some of the issues come up because of the concern that some of the regions have with some of the parts of the contract, the QA/QI portion that is inserted in the contract that the regions have not asked for. Generally speaking from Jim's point a view, 80 percent of the contract helps the regions helps the regions serve the localities. Jim said that you need to keep in mind that the contract is	
	a funding mechanism to help the regions serve the localities not to serve the state.  Scott Winston said that he has a little different perspective. The contract as it is currently written is primarily for base contract services. The base contract services are specifically identified in the Code of Virginia. The Code requires the Board has to develop a comprehensive, coordinated emergency medical care system. There must be a State Emergency Medical Plan that incorporates the plans from regional councils. It further states that the Plan must include certain objectives. There are 17 separate	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
Topic/Subject	DISCUSSION	,
	objectives that are required to be completed. From the state's perspective, they are contracting with another entity to perform certain services that are mandated by code to ensure an effective emergency medical care system. It is very much a top down approach and contract. The regional councils are an agent of the Commonwealth as it relates to serving that role. Scots said he understands that there needs to be some recognition for variations; but in some instances you have to do certain functions whether you want to or not. In the Code it doesn't specifically address providing education and training as a primary basic services. It talks about mostly planning and coordination; performance improvement, trauma registry data, public outreach and technical assistance. Scott said that philosophically there is a difference of opinion in terms of the contract; because there is a specific reason why those services are in the base contract because they come out of the Code of Virginia.  Gary Critzer asked how often is the contract reviewed to make sure it is up to date and who is involved in that process. Scott said it is reviewed on an annual basis. Tim said that last year, he worked with Tima Skinner, as the Chair of the Director's group, and a group of the council personnel gave input. Tim said that he feels that overall the Office of EMS has been receptive to items that the councils want in the contract.  Melinda Duncan said that the regions interpretation of the Code of Virginia for the most part differs from the Office of EMS interpretation. Melinda talked about a struggle she has each year in trying to find funding to add an additional staff member.  Chris Eudailey said he wondered if it would be helpful to see a side by side comparison of the major elements of the contract to see if they can support the section of the Code of Virginia. He also asked how much money is available.  Gary Brown said to answer the question Four for Life legislation. Two percent goes to the Virginia Association for Volunteer Rescue Squ	up; Responsible Person
	somebody has to make a decision on how much money is available; they have to put priorities in order.	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
	Gary Brown agreed. Gary Brown said that in 2002 they went from Two for Life to Four for Life, and	
	they went several years not obtaining the additional revenue because it was diverted to Commonwealth	
	Preparedness and some other activities. It was only this past year that they received full revenue from	
	Four for Life. That represents 100 percent increase in funding for the EMS system. In that same time	
	from 2002 until now funding for the regional councils contracts have gone up 277 percent.	
	Jason Campbell asked who approves the budget amount. Gary Brown said that all of their budgets have	
	to go to the Department of Planning and Budget for approval. Jason Campbell asked what would	
	happen if a council was to decide they did not want to contract with the Office of EMS. Gary Brown	
	said that the question had not been posed before so he doesn't know what would happen.	
	Jason Campbell asked what would happen if a regional council doesn't submit a performance plan;	
	what would happen to their funding. Tim Perkins said that there is language in the contract to address	
	that issue.	
	Mike Berg answered the question Jason asked about what would happen if a regional council decided	
	that they don't need money from the state. Mike said that regional councils have been designated by the	
	Board of Health to perform these functions. If a regional council decides that they don't need the	
	money then they have negated their designation and cannot perform functions on behalf of the	
	Commonwealth. The Office of EMS would have to find someone else to perform the mandated duties in	
	the region. Mike said that is a possible scenario as to how that situation would play out.	
	Randy Abernathy asked if there is a performance bond in case a regional council doesn't meet	
	requirements of the contract Melinda Duncan said that they did have that stipulation in contracts one	
	year. Gary Brown said that there is a default clause in the contract. Dr. Kaplowitz said that all of the	
	state's contracts must be approved by the Office of the Attorney General.	
	Dave Cullen reminded everyone that the Board of Directors of the regional councils ultimately sign the	
	contract and to assure that the contract objectives are met, and not the council Executive Director or	
	staff. The directors and staff just manage the contract.	
	Bruce Edwards said that he has observed that the regions and OEMS have not yet established a	
	collaborative working relationship. This PAT is helping them to work towards that collaboration; and	
	that is a good thing. Bruce encouraged everyone to keep an open mind as they go through this process.	
	During the Public Hearings everyone was voicing their frustrations; it was a "We versus Them"	
	dialogue. Bruce said that now it is important to address and understand what OEMS needs; what	
	Regions need; and what the Providers need. Bruce feels it would be beneficial to everyone that when	
	the Regional Councils make their presentations, they take time to talk about any collaborative work	
	taking place between their regions.	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow- up; Responsible Person
	Dr. Kaplowitz added that as state employees they are responsible for using public funds effectively. Dr. Kaplowitz emphasized that all funds received, federal and state, always comes with rules that have to be followed. Dr. Kaplowitz commented that she is pleased with the amount of collaboration and effort to form better collaboration that she has seen taking place between the Office of EMS, the Regional Councils and the EMS Advisory Board.	up; Responsible Person
Regional Council Presentations – Dave Cullen and Regional Directors	Gary Critzer asked each council to do something along with presenting what they provide above and beyond the normal state contract. Gary had four items that he would like each region to discuss as they go through their presentations.  Gary asked each council to address the following in addition to their presentation.  Deliverables – items above the contract  Sub-council structure how it is managed.  Funding mechanisms above & beyond state funding – how they work  Concerns or issues about the contract beyond funding.	
BREMS – Connie Purvis	Connie said that she doesn't have any concerns about the contract.  Connie said that yearly everybody has to produce a Trauma Triage Plan.  Funding mechanisms above and beyond state funding: BREMS has a good relationship with the local foundations – special projects – that produces funding beyond state funding.  250,000 (corrected per Connie Purvis)  BREMS serves over 2,000 people. It is a metropolitan area; and it is a college area, housing five four-year colleges. BREMS has six jurisdictions. They are one of the eight original councils.  In 1984 they received \$16,000 in funding. They worked very hard for Four for Life because it helps to fund desired programs. Connie said that most of the councils work very similarly. She looks at their contract with OEMS as their mission to reach the grass roots. Connie feels that the councils have evolved over the years at different levels. BREMS offer some programs through the council office. BREMS has vested into the local school systems and emergency services departments to provide support to the new EMT-B training programs. Students get college credits.  BREMS does drug boxes and does an exchange program. BREMS is heavily invested into insurance. When college is not in session, people doing clinicals are not covered. BREMS is heavily invested in disaster preparedness. Connie emphasized the importance of remembering that the regional councils are the invisible structure of EMS. They are the true grassroots contact.  Connie said they have been offered a buyout by a group. Gary Critzer asked Connie to explain about the buyout offer. Connie said that the hospital has offered to buyout the council so that they could operate independently of the state.	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
	Bruce Edwards asked Connie to discuss any collaboration between BREMS and other councils. Connie	
	talked about collaboration with WVEMS in trying to standardize drug boxes. The drug box stock is	
	similar. They also have overlapping agencies with WVEMS. Bruce asked if Connie could conceptually	
	understand the possibility of having a service area outside of the current region area. Connie said that	
	should could see a collaboration of certain things but not conceptualize the elimination of their council	
	office. Connie said that the region would not want to become a sub council.	
	Connie said that any agreement to establish a service area that encompasses different regional offices	
	would have to be voted on by the BREMS Board of Directors. Connie said as an Executive Director	
	she is an employee of the BREMS Board of Directors; and she cannot professionally state an opinion for the Board.	
	Connie said that the opinion of many is that the regional redesignation plan is an effort to reduce and	
	save money. Bruce Edwards said that he gets the feeling that a fear exists among the regions of a	
	resulting dominance of some regions over other regions and a way to take money away from one region	
	and give it to another region.	
	Bruce Edwards asked Connie what have been the barriers that have prevented collaboration with other	
	regions in the past. Melinda Duncan said that several councils have collaborated in the recent past in	
	purchasing insurance. Melinda talked about the issue of having a sovereign board and the requirement	
	that you must have separate audits. Bruce asked about having the same auditor; and Rob Logan said that	
	there still would not be a cost savings. Tina Skinner said that they have looked at the health insurance	
	and benefit plans for several years and ran into a lot of obstacles. One being not being a formal	
	corporation; even though it would be a group plan, then it would be requirements as to how many would	
	participate. When the employees were polled there were not enough to participate in the plan.	
	Bruce Edwards said that his point was to get dialogue on the table just to see what efforts the regions	
	have already made in the past for collaboration. Melinda Duncan they have done a lot of things as a	
	collaborative effort in the past. Melinda said what the directors want answered is when talking about	
	the economy of scales, more specific on the range you are talking about; is it going to be cheaper for all	
	the regions to write one regional plan; is it going to be the triage plan. Melinda said that the directors	
	would like more specifics; and then they can give a more earnest assessment of its workability.	
CSEMS – David Cullen	One of their funding mechanisms is the American Heart Association. They are a training system and it	
	is outside the scope of the contract. Any monies made off of AHA go to support CSEMS training	
	expenses. They have three full time training staff. They are in the OEMS budget and a small part of	
	their time do go towards contractual obligations. They are also unique in that they get Four for Life	
	funding shared by all of their agencies with the exception of one. Fifty three agencies instead of getting	
	money from their locality, the money goes to CSEMS and they put it in an escrow account. CSEMS	
	currently gets 35 percent of RTL to fund CSEMS training staff. The rest of it is held and CSEMS gets	
	the interest. They do request from the CSEMS Board of Directors when they want to use their core	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
	funds.	
	They also get funding from their local governments, 42 percent per person.	
	They also get funding from their local governments, 42 percent per person.	
	They have no pressing contract concerns. QA/QI is a "pain".	
	They do a lot of collaborative activities with all of their neighboring regions. They participate in the	
	stat box program. They hope that within a year they will be fully participated. They do a drug box	
	exchange and they are very active. Things are in the process between Dr. Potter the regional OMD for	
	Lord Fairfax and CSEMS OMD Dr. Brand; and they should be collaborating on drug boxes in the	
	future.	
	They do medical director training with TJEMS. They have contracts with a lot of companies to do	
	training.	
	CSEMS has just signed a contract with the Department of Health, not the Office of EMS, to develop a	
	format for a stroke plan at the regional level. They are participating with people from BREMS region	
	and is based around a Bath County hospital. They get \$7,000 for planning the plan; and they are giving	
	them \$25,000 next month so that they can make internet training on stroke available to all their regional	
	EMS providers. The \$25,000 will pay for software and the internet access; and they have put in a	
	RSAF grant and hopefully at least half of it will be funded so that they can provide laptops so that	
	providers in the rural areas can take advantage of this training.	
	They cooperate fully with the other regions. CSEMS does not have sub councils.	
LFEMS – Dave Cullen	Dave made the presentation on behalf of Tracey McLaurin who was unable to attend because of a	
	staffing shortage in her office.	
	Dave explained that he is able to present on LFEMS knowledgeably because he had signed a contract	
	with OEMS to help oversee LFEMS in the last year in the absence of an executive director. They now	
	have a new executive director, Tracey McLaurin.	
	Dave said that the two councils operate quite similarly. The two councils share the same policy manual,	
	the same job description; but the salary structure is a little higher at LFEMS due to cost of living.	
	the same job description, but the salary structure is a little higher at Li Livis due to cost of hvilig.	
	LFEMS provides a CLIA waiver license. They maintain operations and maintain communications	
	through their council. They actually own their council communications repeaters. They have a tight	
	medical affiliation with their hospital systems. LFEMS does skill drills and protocols classes.	
	LFEMS, CSEMS, TJEMS are about to approach Northwest Regional Hospital group for a project. Bill	
	Downs will discuss the project when he does his presentation.	

LFEMS is a training site for Central Shenandoah Community Training Center. LFEMS works closely with Valley Health. LFEMS does a lot of public education and a lot of PR events and have a lot of PR materials. LFEMS has a nice stroke awareness campaign.  Bruce Edwards asked Dave if he foresees future collaboration opportunities between LFEMS and other regional councils. Dave said that he does foresee other collaborative opportunities in the future. Dave said that he feels that CSEMS Board of Directors is agreeable to collaborative efforts; but he cannot speak for the LFEMS Board.  Bruce asked about collaboration with TJEMS leadership. Dave said that they have very positive relationship; and they have discussed with them possible collaboration.  They do have an AHA CTC as part of their contract; but probably 95 percent of the funding comes from outside state sources. They have a requirement for a CISM team. NVEMS Council produces a EMS resource directory that has grown tremendously. Last year they added Montgomery County, MD, Prince George County, MD and Washington, DC agencies to their listing.  Outside of the contract they developed a Multi-Casualty Incident Manual. NVEMS also developed an EMS Supervisor Course. NVEMS developed and coordinate Regional STEMI & Stroke Programs; and they also participate in Washington, DC council of Government activities. They also produce a hospital MAP book that they give to their agencies.  NVEMS does not have sub councils. Contract issues is probably related to the PI program. They have had some problems on the trauma side; but should have them addressed soon.  Funding above and beyond the state contract, NVEMS asks each of their hospitals for \$5,000. They take a percentage of Four for Life funds, 2.5 percent from each of their agencies.	Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
Melinda feels that there is good collaboration among the regional directors. A lot of collaboration comes from the Regional Directors group meetings. The council government areas is where most of their collaboration efforts are focused.  Melinda was asked about collaboration within career Fire & EMS departments. Melinda said that they are getting involvement from them especially with the Supervisors course.  Bruce Edwards asked if there were any existing barriers to prevent NVEMS from collaboration with other regions. Melinda said no with the exception that the Fire Chiefs throughout the region like to do	NVEMS Council – Melinda Duncan	LFEMS is a training site for Central Shenandoah Community Training Center. LFEMS works closely with Valley Health. LFEMS does a lot of public education and a lot of PR events and have a lot of PR materials. LFEMS has a nice stroke awareness campaign.  Bruce Edwards asked Dave if he foresees future collaboration opportunities between LFEMS and other regional councils. Dave said that he does foresee other collaborative opportunities in the future. Dave said that he feels that CSEMS Board of Directors is agreeable to collaborative efforts; but he cannot speak for the LFEMS Board.  Bruce asked about collaboration with TJEMS leadership. Dave said that they have very positive relationship; and they have discussed with them possible collaboration.  They do have an AHA CTC as part of their contract; but probably 95 percent of the funding comes from outside state sources. They have a requirement for a CISM team. NVEMS Council produces a EMS resource directory that has grown tremendously. Last year they added Montgomery County, MD, Prince George County, MD and Washington, DC agencies to their listing.  Outside of the contract they developed a Multi-Casualty Incident Manual. NVEMS also developed an EMS Supervisor Course. NVEMS developed and coordinate Regional STEMI & Stroke Programs; and they also participate in Washington, DC Council of Government activities. They also produce a hospital MAP book that they give to their agencies.  NVEMS does not have sub councils. Contract issues is probably related to the PI program. They have had some problems on the trauma side; but should have them addressed soon.  Funding above and beyond the state contract, NVEMS asks each of their hospitals for \$5,000. They take a percentage of Four for Life funds, 2.5 percent from each of their agencies.  Melinda feels that there is good collaboration among the regional directors. A lot of collaboration comes from the Regional Directors group meetings. The council government areas is where most of their collaboration efforts are focused.  Melind	Recommendations, Action/Follow-up; Responsible Person

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
	Bruce Edwards asked about collaboration with DC/MD. Melinda said that Maryland has regional	
	councils like Virginia; and they do work a lot with Region 5 in the Montgomery County area. She does	
	attend some meetings in DC.	
	Melinda was asked the role of her Regional Medical Director in the decision to not have regional	
	protocols. Dr. Weir answered this question. He explained that this is a mischaracterization. He	
	explained that agencies are vastly different even though they look similar on paper. They have different	
	capabilities and must be trained differently. He explained that the Regional Protocols needs to be	
	written taking this into consideration.	
ODEMSA – Heidi Hooker	Heidi Hooker is the Interim Director of ODEMSA. The Executive Director retired on January 2, 2008.	
ODEMON - Heldi Hookei	They expect to have their new director in place by March 31, 2008.	
	They do have a sub-council structure. ODEMSA has four planning districts and each district has a sub-	
	council that meets either quarterly or bi-monthly. Each council president has a seat on the Board of	
	Directors and each council has a second representative on the Board of Directors. Heidi said she feels	
	the sub-council structure works well. She feels that it gives everybody a voice. Heidi said that the sub-	
	councils are established by the planning district. Heidi said that each agency names their own	
	representative to the Board.	
	Beyond the contract, ODEMSA holds ALS and National Registry training. ODEMSA has an active	
	lending library with books and videos for the providers. ODEMSA is an EMT-I accredited site.	
	ODEMSA does a lot of continuing education. They get a lot of calls, especially calls dealing with	
	training. ODEMSA sponsors a Super Weekend when they offer 24 – 27 hours in one weekend. This is	
	extremely popular among their providers.	
	ODEMSA hosts a STEMI Seminar. They obtained a RSAF grant that will allow them to purchase 12	
	Lead monitors for each agency in their regions. ODEMSA has a good working relationship with the	
	community within their region. They have a contractor that schedules clinicals rotations for all the	
	students in the region. The hospitals enjoy that process.	
	and the state of t	
	ODEMSA has an Infections Disease Registry – each agency is required to submit to each hospital the	
	names of three people to contact if there is an infectious disease problem. ODEMSA does that for their	
	agencies, they ask them to submit the names to ODEMSA and not to each hospital; and they print a	
	book that they submit to the hospital.	
	ovok and diej beenne to die nospital.	
	Gary Critzer asked if they have any funding sources outside of the state. Heidi said that the hospitals	
	have been very generous. Heidi said that the Board of Directors met recently to discuss establishing	
	outside funding sources.	
	outside fullding sources.	
	Heidi was asked if the sub-councils contribute to ODEMSA's funding. Heidi said they don't contribute	
	Tiend was asked if the sub-contains contribute to Opening 5 funding. Therefore they don't contribute	<u>L</u>

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
	to ODEMSA but they do all have their own funding.	
	Comp Province and that the Code of Vincinia requires that the according to the province of least	
	Gary Brown said that the Code of Virginia requires that the councils have representatives of local jurisdictions. Gary asked Heidi to explain to the PAT the current financial situation of ODEMSA.	
	Heidi said that ODEMSA currently has a cash shortage. The Board of Directors or the staff was not	
	aware of the situation prior to the former Executive Director's retirement. They are working on the	
	situation and they have had to make some cutbacks. The other regional directors have been very	
	helpful.	
	notpran.	
	Bruce Edwards asked Heidi where the central office was located; and if they had other offices. Heidi	
	said that the central office is located in Richmond. ODEMSA does not have other offices. They have	
	two full time field coordinators stationed in Richmond and a PT field coordinator who works from	
	home for Planning District 13. Bruce asked if they hold training in Planning District 13. Heidi said	
	they do hold training in that area. She indicated that a lot of times when training is offered in a rural	
	area, they don't have people to show up for the training.	
	Heidi said that the sub-councils meet bi-monthly and it is staffed by the ODEMSA office. Heidi said	
	that she has heard that Planning District 13 is unhappy; but Heidi has communicated to them that they	
	have an equal voice with ODEMSA.	
	Deady Abamathy what when have a superson act on the ODEMCA Daniel of Directors. Heidi asid	
	Randy Abernathy asked when has a new person sat on the ODEMSA Board of Directors. Heidi said that their has been different people on the Board of Directors. Planning District 13 has not had new	
	people on the Board.	
	people on the Board.	
	Gary Brown went on record to commend Heidi Hooker on the great job she has done at ODEMSA as	
	Interim Director during a very difficult period; and recognized some of the great strides she has made in	
	that short time.	
PEMS – Jim Masten	Beyond the state contract, they do regional drug boxes. However, unlike a lot of the other regions,	
	when you open up the drug box you have the same thing in every area of the region; and that they are	
	packed the exact same way. They do disaster coordination. Throughout the region, all the areas need	
	Events; and PEMS coordinate the programs. The paid agencies ask for a lot of drills that are	
	coordinated by PEMS.	
	The heapitale are calcing DEMS to sit on their standing committees and helping them out with some of	
	The hospitals are asking PEMS to sit on their standing committees and helping them out with some of the events they sponsor.	
	the events they sponsor.	
	Contract issues they have are related to QI. Jim said that the contract states that members must be	
	active on committees 75 percent of the time. This is hard to enforce.	
	There is some sub-council structure. When it comes to medical direction it is one committee for the	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
	entire region; when it comes to mass casualty it is one committee; trauma plan it is one committee for	
	the entire region; when it comes to operations they divide into three separate regions. Each of those	
	three committees appoint two people, usually the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the committee to	
	serve on the Board of Directors. Other people on the Board of Directors include each hospital	
	administrator is asked to appoint someone from their hospital; and they also ask that cities or counties	
	have representation. They have two county administrators on the Board of Directors. They also have	
	citizen members. Jim said it is not truly a sub-council structure.	
	Funding above and beyond the state contract, they receive \$45,962 above state funding. Approximately	
	\$8,000 come from rent space; and they also do per capita to localities.	
	No contract issues. There is some frustration with the 25 percent matching funds. The other issue with	
	the contract, is that their assignments have not yet been made for what the agencies have to do on their	
	assigned projects. They are trying to track STEMI and how they come in but until all of the hospitals	
	give them the STEMI information they can't complete the project.	
	Rob Logan asked about their bullet point list, two or three things that they are doing, partnering with	
	various organization, MMRS. Jim said that they have made all of their drug boxes the same, the same	
	drugs, but they got away from it for a short period of time. They are trying to make sure that their	
	protocols at least can treat modalities. There may be some differences on who has to call when but at	
	least try to get their treatment modalities the same because they are on MMRS. At the same time they	
	have realized some problems with that because the majority of their agencies and area are not an	
	MMRS. In rural areas they don't feel the MMRS will work.	
	Jim was asked to clarify because at the Public Hearing most of the speakers were concerned about the	
	possibility of having to adopt TEMS protocols; and yet now it is reported that the medical directors	
	have already been working towards that direction. Jim said that modality wise they are very much the	
	same; but there are one or two drugs that they have differently. Jim said that they are not trying to	
	attack TEMS; they have worked well together. The message that the Board is trying to put out and he	
¥	has been asked to relay to the PAT is that at this time with the information that they have been given	
	they don't see any benefits to the proposal and actually realize the negatives.	
	Bruce emphasized that there are not a lot differences between the two regions, PEMS and TEMS.	
	Bruce asked Jim to elaborate on how the sub-council system works in PEMS. Jim said that it is	
	essentially three operations committees. They all come together to work out issues.	
	Jim said that the PEMS region is frustrated. Nobody has been told what the reasoning is behind the	
	proposed changes; or what is the objective of this PAT. Gary Critzer said that the purpose of this	
	committee was stated in the first meeting. The purpose of this committee is to take comprehensive and	
	complete evaluation of the regional council system, recommendations for any modifications that may or	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
	may not be necessary in the regional council system. To take a comprehensive and complete look at the regional council system.	
	Gary Brown said that he would like to rebut the statement made that you don't control what people say	
	at the Public Hearing. They seemed to come in buses. Secondly, Gary said he has seen no less than a	
	dozen written comments from various agencies and jurisdictions that seem to be boilerplate copies.	
	Gary said in respect to the comment about the contract match, they have to remind the PAT committee	
	is that the designated council is required to match the state funds with local funds from private and	
	public sources in the apportion specified in the regulations of the Board. Each of the regional councils, the Advisory Board all worked collaboratively to develop those regulations and they went through the	
	Administrative Process Act and that has been promulgated by the Board of Health.	
	In terms of why we are here, the information is out there and it has been shared. It is up to individual	
	choice to accept or reject the information.	
	Dr. Guins said to take the message and separate it from the man, it is important that agencies and front	
	line providers feel some alignment with their regional council. There is the potential as your business grows to lose that "lollipop" boutique shop close feel. As economies of scale become more apparent, as	
	you get larger you have the potential to lose that close intimate touch with your consumer. Somewhere	
	there is a balance in the boardroom It is a difficult task to our Commonwealth and all our agencies	
	there are a lot of people who really care. That is a good thing. A lot of people who can articulate that	
DEMO IE. CI.	the need the regional council whatever structure that it is.	
REMS - Tina Skinner	REMS is made up of 26 Board members. Each locality appoints two representatives to the board. They also have six at large members that come from within the region and the community. The regional	
	medical director also holds a seat on the board. The President does appoint active committees every	
	two years. The chair of each committee is a board member.	
	Some of the things that they do that is above and beyond the standard contract items. REMS provides	
	administrative support for their 12 operational medical directors. REMS has implemented a regional	
	skills performance program; it will be fully implemented region wide in 2009. REMS maintains an	
	accredited regional training and simulation center. Six months ago they moved into a new facility.	
	Typically in their old facility they would see 400 students come through the center; in the new center in	
	six months they have seen 400 already.	
	REMS is looking at becoming a designated site and hold a site license for certified intensive paramedic	
	programs. REMS oversees and schedules clinical rotations for all the courses conducted in their	
	regions.	
	As a region they provide standardized testing at the ALS level which is above the contract which is for	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
Topic/Subject	Discussion	up; Responsible Person
	BLS testing. In the coming year budget they have budgeted for National Registry Testing. They	
	oversee a regional ALS pretest program. As a region, through their operational medical director has an	
	ALS Release Program that the council is responsible for overseeing and coordinating.	
	They work closely with health care partners. They have one university and some community colleges	
	in their regions where Tina sits on committees. They participate in regional projects representing EMS system with their health care partners.	
	REMS (corrected per Tina Skinner)	
	They also work very closely with their health district. PEMS will be growing from three hospitals in the region to six hospitals; and they will have a Level II Trauma designation coming soon to Mary	
	Washington Hospital.	
	REMS is a combination system, they have both rural and urban areas. They have career, volunteer and federal agencies. All the federal agencies support the regional council and follow the protocols that	
	govern Virginia.	
	REMS and their Board are very receptive to be diverse in seeking income resources. They have a	
	benefit gold golf* tournament yearly. This is the six year of this tournament. REMS is also a United	
	Way agency. Tina said this presents a great opportunity for networking, even though limited funding is	
	received through that venue. *Corrected per Tina Skinner)	
	Total and again that to the company of the company	
	They provide the EMS support the National Boy Scout Jamboree that comes to their region every four	
	years. They are already planning for the 2010 event. The event takes place over two weeks, and they	
	have 40,000 attendees. During the event they set up a small city and doing everything that they do for	
	their service area for this event.	
	REMS has six full time employees. They have one office in the City of Fredericksburg. They do not	
	have any sub-councils. They have on staff 8 part time training staff that support the regional training	
	center. They have one company vehicle that is used strictly for company business and stays on site.	
	Fifty two percent of their income comes from the state contract. The remaining 48 percent comes from	
	local government support and their outside funding. They apply for grants to support the system; and	
	they do receive some in kind support from hospitals.	
	and a state of a same and and support from nospitalist	
	They have no specific contract concerns.	
	REMS was a part of the former federation which was one large region. However, essentially all of the	
	smaller council offices that made up that former Federation were their own entity, and they operated	
	individually. Tina said that was before she became a director; but she feels that some of the directors	
	who were in the Federation could probably address some of the issues that are now being discussed.	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
	Bruce Edwards asked about commonalities with other regions. Tina said that her Executive Board has had several meetings regarding the possible boundary changes. They felt strongly that they worked so hard to expand originally gaining what was part of the federation in the past, they did not want to see them lose that. In looking at the NOVA concept they felt that it was more important since they were open to growth, open to those opportunities that being with a system that they have more in common with. The Executive Board felt that they have more in common with the TJEMS Council as part of the federation. They already collaborate now on some items. Tina thinks that if they sat down with the Board they would find several similarities. NOVA is predominantly urban and much larger. TJEMS more closely mirrors what REMS looks like. Tina said that the President of REMS Board of Directors has reached out to TJEMS about the possibility of looking at a collaboration.	
SWVEMS – Greg Woods	SWVEMS Council encompasses 16 localities; and the Board has 31 members with membership coming from each of those localities. They also have some at-large positions. The Bylaws establishes seven standing committees. They do not have a sub-council structure.  They receive funding from each of their 16 localities. Last year they started reaching out to incorporated towns, and have some financial support coming out of those towns. They also have some support from their hospitals. SWVEMS has 17 hospitals. They receive funds from two United Way agencies. Their other funds from the ALS training program and registration fees that are associated with that program.  They have no specific concerns with the contract.  Specific services above and beyond the contract. Each year they create a training schedule; and they have all types of training courses throughout the year. Each year they have a training committee that tries to participate the needs of their providers in the field.  Their main office is located in Abingdon Virginia. From many parts of their region the commute to Abingdon is about two hours. To answer that issue most of their training they offer in three sites that are more centrally located to the individuals. They do the same thing with their testing.  SWVEMS has a staff of five. Three of those are field coordinators. Part of their essential duties, they have been designated parts of their region that they are primarily to serve. So that any issue related to emergency medical services that the provider has they have an individual that they can contact. Because of that SWVEMS travel projections last year were off by several thousand dollars as they tried to take the council to the areas that they serve.	
	videos. That can be utilized by instructors. The video library can be utilized by any provider.	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
	Bruce Edwards asked about any collaboration with Tennessee and North Carolina. Greg said they work	
	with Tennessee more. They do have providers who live outside the state.	
	They have a lot of collaboration with WVEMS. They have had conversations about streamlining some	
	of their processes and working closely with WVEMS.	
	Gary Brown said that the PAT committee needs to be exposed to some success stories. Gary said that	
	seven years ago OEMS found some performance problems in SWVEMS, and decided not to contract	
	with them because of some leadership issues at that time. OEMS contracted with Rob Logan to work	
	with the Board to help them get back on their feet. Today SWVEMS is a model for a regional council.	
	Gary said that Greg Woods has a "can do" attitude and Gary commends him, as well.	
TJEMS – Bill Downs	They represent one planning district plus Madison County. Madison had historically been part of the	
	Rap-Rap Council. When the merger occurred between REMS and RAP-RAP that council chose to	
	come with TJEMS. They have also had discussions with Culpeper and Buckingham as to whether they	
	feel they would be better served in their regional council. In their council they have a Board of	
	Directors which every transport agency has a seat; every locality has a representative; and the regional	
	medical director has a seat; as well as another OMD. The Board is composed of 33 members. TJEMS	
	has an Executive Director, a Program Coordinator and an Administrative Assistant. They have four part	
	time BLS trainers. They share office space with the University of Virginia Prehospital program. They	
	have a great relationship with UVA.	
	BLS Continuing Education mirrors ALS education which historically has been an in kind donation by	
	UVA. In the late 1980s the council designed and hired the first Basic Life Support Training	
	Coordinator in the state. That person by creating that position drew in tremendous buy in from	
	localities. Ten months out of the year there is BLS CE training in every locality. TJEMS took concepts	
	like Skills Drill, historically an ALS requirement, and created it for the BLS environment.	
	They have a relationship with the UVA Research Center. They work in collaboration with UVA on	
	several initiatives.	
	50 (Cita initiative).	
	TJEMS has a 30 percent buy in that is in large part related to their BLS training. TJEMS is a small city	
	surrounded by rural agencies; and they are dealing with the issue of combining career and volunteer	
	providers. To work those issues out, Bill attend officers meeting every month.	
	The outside funding they receive is primarily for their BLS Training.	
	Concerns that they have is how can they fulfill the contract and get buy in for the performance	
	improvement requirement that was recently added. Bill said that their buy-in has historically been the	
	training that they offer. Bill pointed out that in other state level committees that they participate in as	
	well as in other areas of health care the buy in is federal funds. TJEMS does not have that kind of buy	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
	in.	up; Responsible Person
	Collaboration takes place with the other regions almost daily. Being a new director he has had to reach	
	out to the other councils; and they have always been willing to help. TJEMS participates along with	
	three other regional councils on the Northwest Hospital Emergency Management Committee. They	
	participate in their meetings, but they are not voting members. One of the issues they are looking at is	
	where their regional healthcare communication centers are located. They are asked to reevaluate where	
	they are located and how they are staffed.	
	Bill Downs said that he asked a question and ended up being part of a working group looking at the	
	regional healthcare communications center. There is an issue there of how they are going to staff the	
	center. TJEMS, along with the other two regional councils on that committee, thought this might be an opportunity to approach the committee and offer their services as a resource. Bill said that he can't	
	promise that they will be able to sell this concept to the Northwest Hospital Emergency Management	
	Committee; but those are the type of opportunities that they are looking for and are willing and ready to	
	partner up to perform.	
	Bill Downs was asked a question regarding TJEMS considering making BLS training a separate entity	
	of TJEMS. Bill said that is an issue that preceded him. Donna Burns explained that OEMS met with	
	TJEMS about a year ago and told them that training was not a mission of the Office of EMS. The	
	funding that they provided with contracts was not for training. TJEMS, therefore, explored the idea	
	since if they were going to have to account for how much time was spent on contracts as compared to	
	what they spent on training, then it didn't seem like a danger at the time to possibly set up a separate training entity. However, the former Executive Director was not in comfortable creating a separate	
	entity for the purposes of contracting to provide EMS training and continuing education. Donna said	
	that her personal goal is for TJEMS to have a Regional Training Center.	
	that her perconal goal is for the live a regional Training Center.	
	Bruce Edwards asked about the possibility of collaboration with BREMS. Bill said that they are willing	
	to sit down and talk to anybody about what will be more effective. On the surface they are not sure it	
4	will be more effective; what efficiencies will be realized and at what cost TJEMS has already had	
	conversations with other regions. TJEMS interest is more in whenever possible seeking out partnerships	
	and alliances that will allow them to build up or build down in response to the system's needs.	
	Sports and OEMS recognized that training is important and that they do provide finding outside of the	
	Scott said OEMS recognizes that training is important and that they do provide funding outside of the contract to facilitate training within the region. Scott asked if it was true that they had four part time	
	employees that are providing training. Scott asked how many hours a week or month to they perform.	
	Bill said that they each do about 12-16 hours per week. Scott asked if all of the jurisdictions within the	
	regions providing funding. Bill Downs said yes they do.	
TEMS – Jim Chandler	TEMS have a lot of committees that do work that are not in the contract. TEMS work jointly with	
	PEMS on the mass casualty plan. Jim said he wanted to correct something that was said earlier [ that	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow-
		up; Responsible Person
	the MMRS program was forced on regions. He said that it was actually the reverse the two EMS	
	regions in the MMRS plan are served by one MMRS team.	
	On behalf of the medical directors they do regional testing for ALS candidates. TEMS does a lot of	
	work with the EMS Symposium. TEMS does a series of Infection Control designated officer courses.	
	TEMS provide administrative support to the VA-1 Disaster Medical Assistance Team. They do drug	
	boxes and IV boxes. Their boxes are locally funded. TEMS manage the funds for a Regional Technical	
	Rescue Team.	
	Two of the non EMS programs TEMS oversee is to manage the Tidewater Center for Life Support	
	Training along with EVMS. TEMS also manage the Hampton Roads MMRS program, which include	
	16 jurisdictions that include part of TEMS, PEMS and ODEMSA region.	
	- Janes and Market Park of Thirty, Thirty and Oblition Togeth	
	TEMS does have a sub-council on the Eastern Shore. They have a western Tidewater area and they	
	have attempted to set up a sub council there without a lot of success. Other funding EVMS, MMRS	
	Program, federal grants and locality funding.	
	and the state of t	
	Concerns about the contract – concerns about PPI part of it.	
	Bruce Edwards asked Jim to relate to collaboration. Jim said they collaborate a lot with PEMS but not	
	much with ODEMSA. Jim said that Surry is in the border area; and he does see the opportunity	
	regarding the western Tidewater area but does not warrant TEMS setting up a sub-council; and he	
	thinks it would be good to look at some sort of collaboration between ODEMSA and TEMS to support	
	those areas.	
WVEMS – Rob Logan	WVEMS was founded in 1975. They have three Planning Districts and 16 localities. They have a 27	
	member Board of Directors.	
	Things that they do above and beyond the contract – a lot is education related to OEMS education.	
	They have agreements to offer college credits for events and remedial training. WVEMS goal is to get	
	out of the certification business all together.	
	They have 12 hospitals in their region and have some are with a recovered with all the have its large	
	They have 12 hospitals in their region and have come up with agreements with all the hospitals.	
	They are looking at collaboration for drug boyes with SW/VEMS, W/VEMS and DDEMS	
	They are looking at collaboration for drug boxes with SWVEMS; WVEMS and BREMS.	
	Funding beyond the contract, they have a formula based funding from each of the four localities. They	
	have grants and pre hospital care.	
	nave grants and pre nospital care.	
	Bruce Edwards asked about the possibility of collaboration. Rob said that there has been no formal	
	discussion. He doesn't see a lot of problems; but he said that you cannot keep two boards and run it as	
	discussion. The doesn't see a for or problems, but he said that you cannot keep two boards and run it as	

Topic/Subject	Discussion	Recommendations, Action/Follow- up; Responsible Person
	one council.	up, Kesponsible i erson
Response to Questions Posed at February 25 & 26 meetings.	Three questions that came back from the February 25 & 26 meetings were:  1. What is going on in other states. Tim said there is not a lot of information  2. Asked for wording for the budget amendment by Senator Abbitt. That language stayed in the budget.  3. Randy asked what are other states doing as far as the IOM report. Gary Critzer sent this information to PAT.	
Next Meeting – Tim Perkins	Tim talked about the agenda items for the next meeting. Economy of Scale; Cost Effectiveness; PI issue; CTS; Board of Directors; RSAF; Protocols; Financial Situations; Special Contracts; Financial Statement with Local Government; Report guidelines for funding contract deliverables. Quality of work; Menu of services; Dennis will provide information about Return to localities.  Dr. Kaplowitz wanted to assure that the Commissioner issued a variance postponing the regional council issue.  Gary Critzer said that Tim Perkins will be first on the agenda at the next meeting.  At the next meeting they need to delve into the Regional Council contract process and actual deliverables; do they truly meet what we need to be doing in the RC System.  They need to look at deliverables for councils.  They will also look at the service area issue.	The PAT was asked to review all the information they have received and make suggested changes.  Look at deliverables of councils.  Look at Service area issue.
Meeting Date	Tuesday, April 29, 2008 at 8:30 AM in Williamsburg, VA	
PUBLIC COMMENT	No comments.	
Adjournment	The meeting was adjourned at 5:45 PM	